Application No.09/844,005

Attorney Docket: 2050-07

REMARKS

In this final amendment, the applicant withdrew arguments made against the Non-Final Action. Instead the applicant determined to amend claims relying on the allowable claims 5 and 8 out of nine pending claims in compliance with the examiner's initial teaching.

Accordingly, the objected claim 5 is canceled and then incorporated to the rejected independent claim 1. Similarly, the objected claim δ is also canceled and combined to the rejected independent claim δ . To overcome 5112 rejection, claim 2 has been amended such that the trademark/trade names are crossed out as presented in the first amendment.

No new matters are included in this amendment.

The applicant believes after this amendment that claims 1-4, 6-7 and 9 (total seven claims out of nine) should be under the condition of allowance.

Applicant now respectively requests that a timely Notice of Allowance be issued for this application.

Respectively submitted,

IPLA P.A.

Date: June 29, 2005

/James E. Bame/

James E. Bame Regis. No. 44521 Tel: 213-637-5633